The Ricoh Group receives a thirdparty review of its environmental performance data and collection/ aggregation system (Sustainable Environmental Management Information System). The related information is provided to stakeholders in the sustainability report (Environment). Furthermore, the results of this review are used to improve and advance sustainable environmental management. In fiscal 2004, the concept of system verification was introduced. System verification checks for consistency between data and whether the system effectively functions to collect/aggregate highly reliable data. The Ricoh Group continues to promote sustainable environmental management by using third-party reviews more effectively.



Reference View (whole statement)

BVQI has reported many findings and opinions regarding environmental activity at the head office site level through the data verification process. BVQI has concluded the following:

1. Good Points

- The environmental management information system is credible, as the conversion factor used in the system is managed collectively by the head office, not on a site basis.
- The product recycling rate is accurate, because it is calculated component by component.
- The environmental report system of Ricoh Logistics System is a highly credible system that is capable of collecting and aggregating data accurately across the country.

2. Issues

• The environmental management information system is expected to be deployed further even in some non-manufacturing group companies. The data input system is expected to be improved further. With this system, data input mistakes are avoided and/or detected if any.

■ List of Figures Verified by BVQI

Page	Number	Title	Verification No.
13	—	The Ricoh Group's Environmental Action Plan (FY 2002–2004)/Results	(1)
19	0	<japan> Changes in Energy Consumption—Black-and-White Copiers and Multifunctional Copiers</japan>	(2)
	2	<japan> Changes in Energy Consumption—Color Copiers and Multifunctional Copiers</japan>	(3)
	8	<japan> Changes in Energy Consumption—Black-and-White and Color Printers</japan>	(4)
	4	<japan> Changes in Energy Consumption—Facsimiles (Including Multifunctional Copiers)</japan>	(5)
	6	<global> Reduction in CO₂ Emissions through the Use of QSU Technology</global>	(6)
23	0	<global> Resource Recovery Rate—Copiers</global>	(7)
	9	<global> Resource Recovery Rate—Toner Cartridges</global>	(8)
	8	<global> Collection Results—Number of Copiers Collected</global>	(9)
	4	<global> Collection Results—Amount of Toner Cartridges Collected</global>	(10)
24	6	LCA Comparison Between a New Machine and Recycled Copier (CO ₂ Emissions)	(11)
27	0	<global> Changes in the Amount of Chemical Substances Used in One Product (Compared with those in the figures for fiscal 2000)</global>	(12)
	9	<global> Changes in the Level of Noise Emitted by Color Copiers</global>	(13)
	0	<global> Achievement of Standards for Environmentally-sensitive Chemical Substances</global>	(14)
33	0	Scenario for Reductions in Total CO ₂ Emissions for Ricoh Group (production) in Japan up to Fiscal 2010	(15)
34	9	<japan> Energy Consumption (CO₂ conversion and calories)—The Ricoh Group (production)</japan>	(16)
	8	<japan> Energy Consumption (CO₂ conversion and calories)—The Ricoh Group (nonproduction)</japan>	(17)
	4	<japan> Breakdown of Major Energy Consumption —The Ricoh Group (production)</japan>	(18)
	6	<outside japan=""> Energy Consumption (CO₂ conversion and Calories)—The Ricoh Group (production)</outside>	(19)
	6	<the entire="" group="" ricoh=""> Greenhouse Gas Emissions other than CO₂ (CO₂ conversion)—The Ricoh Group (Production)</the>	(20)
38	0	NOx and SOx Emissions in Transportation by Ricoh Logistics System	(21)
39	0	<the entire="" group="" ricoh=""> Total Amount of Waste Generated—The Ricoh Group (production)</the>	(22)
	9	<the entire="" group="" ricoh=""> Volume of Industrial Water Used—The Ricoh Group (production)</the>	(23)
40	0	<japan> Resource Recovery Rate of Waste/Total Amount of Waste Generated/Total Amount of Waste Discharged/Amount of Waste Finally Disposed of—The Ricoh Group (production)</japan>	(24)
	4	<japan> Resource Recovery Rate of Waste/Total Amount of Waste Generated/Total Amount of Waste Discharged/Amount of Waste Finally Disposed of—The Ricoh Group (nonproduction)</japan>	(25)
	6	«Outside Japan» Resource Recovery Rate of Waste/Total Amount of Waste Generated/Total Amount of Waste Discharged/Amount of Waste Finally Disposed of—The Ricoh Group (production)	(26)
43	0	<the entire="" group="" ricoh=""> Amount of Environmentally Sensitive Substances Used and Emitted in Fiscal 2004 —The Ricoh Group (production)</the>	(27)
	9	<the entire="" group="" ricoh=""> Changes in the Amount Used and Discharged of Ricoh Target Substances for Reduction—The Ricoh Group (production)</the>	(28)
	8	<the entire="" group="" ricoh=""> Changes in the Amount of NOx, SOx and BOD—The Ricoh Group (production)</the>	(29)
46	0	Survey Results of Underground Water Pollution and Purification Efforts at Ricoh Production Sites and the Ricoh Group's Manufacturing Subsidiaries in Japan (As of March 2005)	(30)
	9	Survey Results of Underground Water Pollution and Purification Efforts at the Ricoh Group's Manufacturing Subsidiaries Outside Japan (As of March 2005)	(31)
51	_	Eco Balance of Overall Business Activities (Fiscal 2004)	(32)
55	_	Ricoh Group's Corporate Environmental Accounting in fiscal 2004	(33)
77	_	Business Site Data	(34)

- The total BOD emission is aggregated from the data monitored at each site, but what to be measured was not clearly defined by the Ricoh group as a whole.
- As to environmental accounting, the rule on taxation was not consistent among the sites; some included tax and some excluded tax.
- There was large discrepancy on the amount of waste collected between the amount measured by Ricoh and waste collectors, because waste collection was in some cases measured per department as a part of a reduction activity. Further effort should be taken to minimize the discrepancy.

3. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions

- With enforcement of the Kyoto Protocol, it is preferable to publicize GHG emissions based on the international common standard for calculating GHG emissions to ensure credibility and transparency.
- Verifier has observed that some conversion factor was inconsistent or not up-to-date